

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/516,331	CHAE, WAN SHIK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christine T. Cajilig	3637	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Christine T. Cajilig.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Catherine Voorhees.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2007

**Time:** 3:50 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claims 2-4*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments to claims 2-4 were proposed and agreed upon to clarify some elements in the claim language. See attached Examiner's Amendments for details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)